Covering letter to submit the proposal to ICMR-NIRRCH Ethics Committee for Human Studies:

	Date:
Chairperson ICMR-NIRRCH Eth Parel, Mumbai.	nics Committee for Human Studies
Subject: Submission	of project proposal entitled, "
	", Version, Datedfor Ethical Approval.
Respected Madam,	
The details of the pre are	oject to be put forth for the Ethics Committee meeting to be held on as follows:
1. Title:	
2. Name of the PIs:	
3. Reason for submi	ssion to the Ethics committee (Please tick the appropriate)
a. New Prop	osal
b. Amendme	nt:
i)	Change in the Title
ii)	Change in the Collaborators
iii)	Change in the Sample size
iv)	Any other, please specify
c. Revision a	s advised by the Ethics Committee
4. Extramural findin	g obtained:
5. Name of the fund	ing agency:
6. SAC/ SRC approv	val:
Thanking you,	
Yours sincerely,	
Principal Investigate	or

Checklist (Please tick as applicable)

Sr. No.	Items		No	Not Applicable	Enclosure no./ Page no.	EC Remarks (if Applicable)
	ADMINISTRAT	TVE	REQ	UIREMENTS	5	
	Cover letter					
1	Initial Review Form					
2	Other additional/ related forms (If applicable) • Form for Exemption from Ethical Review & Undertaking by Principal Investigator • Form for Expedited Review					
	 Form for Human Genetics Testing Research Form for Socio-Behavioural & Public Health Research Form for Amendment Form for Clinical Trials with Guide to Placebo Justification 					
3	Approval of SAC/ SRC/ IRCT/ Any other					
4*	EC clearance of other centers					
5*	Agreement between collaborating partners					
6*	Material Transfer Agreement (MTA) between collaborating partners					
7	Insurance policy/ certificate					
8	Copy of contract or agreement (Memorandum of Understanding-MoU) signed with the sponsor or donor agency					
9	Provide all significant previous decisions by the other ECs/ Regulatory authorities for proposed study (whether in same location or elsewhere) & modification(s) to protocol					
* Ap	plicable in case of Multicentric Stud	dy Pro	posa	ls		
	PROPO	SAL I	RELA	ATED		
10	Copy of the Detailed Protocol {Refer to National Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical & Health Research Involving Human Participants 2017, section 4 Page no. 35 Box 4.4(b)}					

11	Investigators Brochure (If			
	applicable for Drug/ Biologicals/			
	Device trials)			
12	Participant Information Sheet			
	(PIS)& Participants informed			
	consent form (ICF) (English &			
	translated)			
13	Assent form for minors (12-18			
	years) (English and Translated)			
14	Proforma/Questionnaire / Case			
	Report Forms (CRF)/ Interview			
	guides/ Guides for Focused Group			
	Discussions (FGDs) (English and			
	translated)			
15	Advertisement/material to recruit			
	participants (fliers, posters etc.)			
16	Role of Investigators			
17	Brief CV of all Investigators			
18	Research Ethics training/ GCP of			
	Investigators in last 5 years			

	PERMISSIONS FROM GOVERNMENT AUTHORITIES							
	Other permissions	Required	NOT Require		Received	Applied on	EC Remarks	
19	CTRI							
20	DCGI							
21	HMSC							
22	NAC-SCRT							
23	ICSCR							
24	RCGM							
25	GEAC							
26	BARC							
27	Tribal Board							
28	Others (Specify)							
	ANY OTHER R	ELEVANT II	NFORMAT	ION/D	OCUMENTS R	RELATED TO	THE STUDY	
	Item		YES	NO	Not Applicable	Enclosure No./ Page No.	EC Remarks	
29			_					
30			-					

Things the Principal Investigator/ Co- Principal Investigator should be aware about are:

- 1. List of other permissions that might be essential for your project proposal
 - CTRI-Clinical Trial Registry-India
 - DCGI-Drug Controller General of India
 - HMSC- Health Ministry's Screening Committee
 - NAC-SCRT- National Apex Committee for Stem Cell Research and Therapy
 - IC-SCR-Institutional committee for Stem Cell Research
 - RCGM- Review Committee on Genetic Manipulation
 - GEAC- Genetic Engineering Approval Committee
 - BARC- Bhabha Atomic Research Centre
- 2. Required copy of all the essential documents, neatly typed, numbered and bound shall be submitted.
- 3. Title of the project should be put as a header with the name of Principal Investigator. Versions if any, and date should be incorporated. e.g. all new proposals will bear Version 1 and date.
- 4. All pages must be serially numbered and put as footer on the right side of the page.
- 5. Any incomplete proposal will not be considered for the meeting. Any blank left in the study proposal (example: signatures), should be justified.
- 6. All the PIs are instructed to read the National Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical and Health Research Involving Human Participants, ICMR, 2017 & other recent ICMR guidelines before filling the form.



Application Form for Initial Review ICMR-NIRRCH Ethics Committee for Human Studies

Tick one or more as applicable. Mark not applicable wherever Appropriate Attach additional sheets if required May select more than one option Date should be uniformly written in dd/mm/yyyy format To be filled by EC Office Project No.: Date of Receipt: Status: New/ Revision/ Amendment Duration:

SECTION A - BASIC INFORMATION

1. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS</u>

a) N	ame of Org	ganization: ICMR- National Institute for Research in Reproductive and Child Health
		nics Committee: ICMR- National Institute for Research in Reproductive and Child Health
(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Ethics Committee for Human Studies
c) N	ame of Pri	ncipal Investigator:
		Division:(e) Date of Submission:
	-	· ·
(f)	Type of re	eview requested (Please tick appropriate):
	i. Exempt	tion from review ii. Expedited review iii. Full committee review
	Exemption	Proposals with less than minimal risk where there are no linked identifiers, for example;
	from review	• research conducted on data available in the public domain for systematic reviews or meta-analysis;
		observation of public behaviour when information is recorded without any linked identifiers and disclosure would not harm the interests of the observed person;
		• quality control and quality assurance audits in the institution;
		comparison of instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods;
		consumer acceptance studies related to taste and food quality; and
		• public health programmes by Govt agencies such as programme evaluation where the sole purpose of the exercise is refinement and improvement of the programme or monitoring (where there are no individual identifiers).
	Expedited	Proposals that pose no more than minimal risk may undergo expedited review, for example;
	Review	• research involving non-identifiable specimen and human tissue from sources like blood banks, tissue banks and left-
	Keview	over clinical samples;
		research involving clinical documentation materials that are non-identifiable (data, documents, records);
		modification or amendment to an approved protocol including administrative changes or correction of typographical errors and change in researcher(s):
		• revised proposals previously approved through expedited review, full review or continuing review of approved proposals;
		minor deviations from originally approved research causing no risk or minimal risk;
		• progress/annual reports where there is no additional risk, for example activity limited to data analysis. Expedited review
		of SAEs/unexpected AEs will be conducted by SAE subcommittee; and • for multicentre research where a designated main EC among the participating sites has reviewed and approved the
		study, a local EC may conduct only an expedited review for site specific requirements in addition to the full committee
		common review.
		research during emergencies and disasters
	Full Board	All research proposals presenting more than minimal risk that are not covered under exempt or expedited review should
	Review	be subjected to full committee review, some examples are;
		research involving vulnerable populations, even if the risk is minimal; research with minor increase over minimal risk;
		studies involving deception of participants;
		• research proposals that have received exemption from review, or have undergone expedited review/undergone
		subcommittee review should be ratified by the full committee, which has the right to reverse/or modify any
		decision taken by the subcommittee or expedited committee;

• amendments of proposals/related documents (including but not limited to informed consent documents, investigator's

research during emergencies and disasters either through an expedited review/ scheduled or unscheduled full committee meetings. This may be decided by Member Secretary depending on the urgency and need;
prior approval of research on predictable emergencies or disasters before the actual crisis occurs for implementation

· any new information that emerges during the course of the research for deciding whether or not to terminate the study in

brochure, advertisements, recruitment methods, etc.) involving an altered risk;

major deviations and violations in the protocol;

later when the actual emergency or disaster occurs.

view of the altered benefit-risk assessment;

(g)		•			ion, date.		
(h		ym/ Short titl			ioii, uate.		••••
	a.	•	,	(By Ethics S	ecretariat):		
	b	. Version nu	mber:	. •			
(i)	Detail	s of Investiga	itors:				
Γ							Address for
	1	Name	Designation & Qualification		Department and Institution	Con	mmunication ephone/Mobile, Fax, email id)
	Princip	al Investigato	or/ Gui	de			
	*Co-In	vestigator/ Co	ollabor	rator/s			
	Studen	t/Fellow					
(j)	collab Numb i) Prin	orator & accept of studies values in the studies of studies of studies of the stu	ceptan where gator at	ce to the invapplicant is time of sub	a:	e co-investig	Co-investigator/ gator/ collaborator
No.	Projec	t Title	e	Date of	Status (whether	er Date	e of approval of
	No.			initial	initiated, no. o	of continu	aation and Date of
				approval	participants	submi	ssion of the latest
				by the	enrolled, etc.)	s	tatus report
				IEC			
, u	i	ii) Co-Princip	al Inve	estigator at ti	me of submission	ı:	
(k)) Durati	ion of the stud	dy:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
(l)	Wheth	ner the study i	is part	of the Fellov	wship program/ or	ther academi	ic study/
(m	n)Wheth	ner the study i	is a reg	ulatory stud	y: Yes/No		
(n)) Wheth	ner there are c	collabo	rators/ colla	borating institution	ons: Yes/ No	
	No.	Name of the	N	ames of the	Designation	Role in	Whether
		collaborating		dividuals	and	the study	collaborating

	institution	collaborating	Qualifications	institution's EC's
				approval will be
				required, Name of
				the EC
				<u>, </u>
2. <u>F</u>	UNDING DETAILS A	AND BUDGET:		
(a	a) Total estimated budg	get for site:		
(t) Self-funding \square	Instituti	onal funding \Box	
	Funding agency(spec	eify) 🗆		
				lete and accurate to the best of ulent statements or claims may
				we agree to accept responsibility
				equired progress reports if the
perm	ission is granted as a re	sult of this applic	eation.	
Fo	rwarded through HO	D & Director w	ith signature &	Date:
			Cianatur	no with data & goal
			Signatui	re with date & seal
	Principal I	nvestigator		
		· g		
	77 7 0.7	.		
	Head of the	Department		
	Dire	ector		
	L		<u> </u>	
	SECTION E	B - RESEARC	H RELATED	INFORMATION
Study	Title:			
• •				
	VERVIEW OF RESI			
(8	i) Lay Summary (Willin	1 300 words)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

	Basic Sciences Cross Sectional Cohort Prospective Socio-behavioural Quantitative Mixed Method Any other (Specify)		Clinical Retrospective Case Control Qualitative Systematic Review Biological sample Epidemiological/Public Hea	alth
4.	OBJECTIVES:			
_	• •			
5.	METHODOLOGY (not ex (a) Sample size/ number of		cable)	
	•		Globally	
	• Control group		Study group	
	• Inclusion & excl	usion criteria		
	• Justification for t	he sample size chose	en (100 words); In case of q	ualitative
	study, mention th	ne criteria used for sa	aturation (attach statistician	comment on
	sample size)			
	(b) Is there an external labor appropriate) (If participant samples are se relevant documentation such	nt outside for investigati	volved for investigations? (ons, provide details of the same of Yes/ No/Not	and attach
	(c) How was the scientific q	uality of the study as	ssessed? (Tick appropriate)	
	Independent externation	al review		
	• Review by sponsor/	Funder		
	• Review within PI's	institution Research	group SAC/SRC	
	• Review within mult	i-center		
	 No review 			
	Date of review			
	Comments of scientific of	committee, if any (10	00 words)	
	(Report to be attached).			

SECTION C: PARTICIPANT RELATED INFORMATION

6. RECRUITMENT AND RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS. (a) Type of participants in the study: Healthy volunteer □ Patient \square Vulnerable persons/ Special groups □ Others (specify) Who will do the recruitment? Participant recruitment methods used: Posters/leaflets/Letters □ TV/Radio ads/Social media/Institution website □ Others (Specify) Telephone (b) i. Will there be vulnerable persons/ special groups involved? Yes / No / NA ii. If yes, type of vulnerable persons/ special groups. Pregnant or lactating women Children between 0-7 yrs. Children between 7- 12 yrs. П Children between 12-18 yrs. П П Differently abled (Mental/Physical) □ Elderly Institutionalized Refugees/Migrants/Homeless Economically and socially disadvantaged П П Terminally ill (stigmatized or rare diseases) \Box Employees/Student/Nurses/Staff Any other (Specify) iii. Provide justification for inclusion/exclusion..... iv. Are there any additional safeguards to protect research participants? (Principal Investigator should explain about the Special precautions considered for safeguarding the Vulnerable Participants) (c) Is there any reimbursement to the participants? Yes / No (d) Are there any incentives to the participants? Yes / No If yes, Monetary \square Non-monetary Provide details

(e) Are there any participant recruitment fees/ incentives for the study provided to the PI/ Institution?

Yes / No

If yes, Monetary \Box	Non-monetary □ Provide details □
7. BENEFITS AND RISKS	<u>S</u>
(a) i. Are there any anticiparticipants? If yes, categorize the less than Minimal risk	pated physical/social/psychological discomforts/ risk to Yes / No level of risk:
	risk or low risk More than minimal risk or high risk
ii. Describe the risk mana	gement strategy:
	Criteria for risk assessment
Less than minimal risk	Probability of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research is nil or not expected. For example, research on anonymous or non-identified data/samples, data available in the public domain, meta-analysis, etc
Minimal risk	Probability of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research is not greater than that ordinarily encountered in routine daily life activities of an average healthy individual or general population or during the performance of routine tests where occurrence of serious harm or an adverse event (AE) is unlikely. Examples include research involving routine questioning or history taking, observing, physical examination, chest X-ray, obtaining body fluids without invasive intervention, such as hair, saliva or urine samples, etc.
Minor increase over minimal risk or Low risk	Increment in probability of harm or discomfort is only a little more than the minimal risk threshold. This may present in situations such as routine research on children and adolescents; research on persons incapable of giving consent; delaying or withholding a proven intervention or standard of care in a control or placebo group during randomized trials; use of minimally invasive procedures that might cause no more than brief pain or tenderness, small bruises or scars, or very slight, temporary distress, such as drawing a small sample of blood for testing; trying a new diagnostic technique in pregnant and breastfeeding women, etc. Such research should have a social value. Use of personal identifiable data in research also imposes indirect risks. Social risks, psychological harm and discomfort may also fall in this category.
More than minimal risk or High risk	Probability of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research is invasive and greater than minimal risk. Examples include research involving any interventional study using a drug, device or invasive procedure such as lumbar puncture, lung or liver biopsy, endoscopic procedure, intravenous sedation for

			diagr	nostic procedui	res		
	(b) What are th	ne poten	tial ben	efits from the	study?		
Po	tential benefits	Yes		No		I	f yes
					Dire	ct	Indirect
Fo	r the participant						
	r the ciety/community						
	r improvement science						
	Please descr	ibe how	the bene	efits justify the	risks		
	Are reportin	h seriou g proced	s and no lures and	n-serious adve	rse events) strategies des		Yes/ No/ NA he study? Yes / No
8.	INFORMED C	ONSEN	 J T				
0.				Participant Info	ormation She	et (PIS):	
				•		, ,	
	(b) Type of con				`	,	
	Signed cons	-		Verbal/Oral co	onsent \square	Waiver of	consent \square
	Witnessed c			Audio-Video (_	
				cify from whom)	_		
			•	LAR consent	_		
	Verbal asser	nt from r	ninor (7	-12 yrs.) along -<18 years alo	with parental		
	Other (Spec	ify) □:					
	(c) Who will ob	tain the	informe	d consent?			

	PI/Co-PI □ Research Staff □ Any tools to be used whi	Nurse/Couns Other (Speciale obtaining consent:						
(d)	•	Sheet (PIS) and Informed		••••				
	2 2	ich translations were done	e / will be done					
(e)	Are you seeking waiver of consent? If yes, what are the reasons. Yes / No							
(f)	Provide details of conser	nt requirements for previo	usly stored samples if used in th	e				
		•	17 Guidelines , Pg. 54, Section 5.8)					
(g)	Elements contained in th	e Participant Information	Sheet (PIS) and Informed Conse	ent				
	Form (ICF) (Mark appro	-	` ,					
	Simple language	Data/Sample	Compensation for study					
		sharing	related injury					
-	Risks and discomforts	Need to re-contact	Statement that consent is voluntary					
	Alternatives to	Confidentiality	Commercialization/ Benefit					
	participation		sharing					
•	Voluntariness and	Storage of samples	Statement that study involves					
	Right to withdraw		research					
	Benefits and risks	Return of research	Use of photographs/					
	involved	results	Identifying data					
•	Purpose and	Payment for	Research team and Ethics					
	procedure and what	participation	Committee contact					
	the participant is		information					
	expected to do							
ļ	Provision for	Provision for						
	providing free	compensation for						
L	l l		•					

	medical care in case	study-related inju	ry		
	of a study- related				
	injury				
O	ther (Specify)				
9. <u>P</u>	AYMENT/COMPENS	ATION			
(a)	Who will bear the costs (Enclose undertaking from Principal Investigator Other agencies □(spec	n PI confirming the same) ☐ Institution	□ Sponson	r 🗆	
(b)	Is there a provision fo If yes, then who will p				
(c)	Is there a provision fo	r compensation of res	earch related SAE?	Yes /	No
	If yes, specify Sponsor □ Project grant □	Institu Insura	ntional/Corpus fund		
(d)	Is there any provision determined for injury If yes, specify	to the participants du	ring the study period	1? Yes /	No
10. <u>S</u>	TORAGE AND CONF	IDENTIALITY			
(a)	Identifying Information	n: Study Involves sam	ples/data (specify):		
	Anonymous/Unidentifie	ed 🗆	Anonymized: Revo	ersibly coded	
	Irreversibly coded		Identifiable □		
	If identifiers must be ref	tained, what additiona	l precautions will be	e taken to ensure t	hat
	access is limited /data is	safeguarded? (e.g. d	ata stored in a cabine	et, password prote	ected
	computer etc.)				
(b)	Who will be maintaining	ng the data pertaining	to the study?		
 (c)	Where will the data be	analyzed and by who			
	protected computer etc		-	•	
(d)	For how long will the d				
	Do you propose to use s				
(•)	If yes, explain how you	-			•
	,, prain no	5 ase stored ma	and in the late		

.....

SECTION D: OTHER ISSUES

11. PUBLICATION, BENEFIT SHARING AND IPR ISSUES

(a)	Will the results of the study be reported and disseminated? If yes, specify	Yes /	No
(b)	Will you inform participants about the results of the study?	Yes /	No
(c)	Are there any arrangements for continued provision of the intervention for		
	participants, if effective, once the study has finished. Yes/No/Not If yes describe in brief (Max 50 words)		
(d)	Is there any plan for post research benefit sharing with participants? If yes, specify		
(e)	Is there any commercial value or a plan to patent/IPR issues? If yes, please provide details.		No
(f)	Do you have any additional information to add in support of the application not included elsewhere in the form? If yes, provide details.	n, which Yes /	

SECTION E: DECLARATION/UNDERTAKING

12. <u>DECLARATION/UNDERTAKING</u> (Please tick as applicable)

1	I/We certify that the information provided in this application is complete and correct.	
2	I/We confirm that all investigators have approved the submitted version of proposal/related documents.	
3	I/We confirm that this study will be conducted in accordance with the latest ICMR National Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical and Health Research involving Human Participants and other applicable regulations and guidelines	
4	I/We confirm that this study will be conducted in accordance with the Drugs and Cosmetics Act 1940 and its Rules 1945 as amended from time to time, GCP guidelines and other applicable regulations and guidelines.	
5	I/We will comply with all policies and guidelines of the institute and the Ethics Committee and affiliated/ collaborating institutions where this study will be conducted.	
6	I/We will ensure that personnel performing this study are qualified, appropriately trained and will adhere to the provisions of the EC approved protocol.	
7	I/We declare that the expenditure in case of injury related to the study will be taken care of.	
8	I/We confirm that we shall submit any protocol amendments, adverse events report, significant deviations from protocols, progress reports (if required) and a final report and also participate in any audit of the study if needed.	
9	I/We confirm that we will maintain accurate and complete records of all aspects of the study.	
10	I/We will protect the privacy of participants and assure confidentiality of data and biological samples.	
11	I/We hereby declare that I/any of the investigators, researchers and/or close relative(s), have no conflict of interest (Financial/ Personal/ Professional) with the sponsor(s) and outcome of study.	

I/We have the following conflict of interest (PI/Co-PI/ Collaborator) (if applicable):

	1	
	2	
Name and signature of Principal Investigator with date:		
Name and signature of Co-Principal Investigator with date:		
Na	ume and signature of Collaborator with date:	